08-13555-mg Doc 37633 Filed 05/23/13 Entered 05/29/13 14:40:35 Main Document Pg 1 of 21

# B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEE (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS El Veasta Lampley	DEFENDANTS Lehman Brother's Holdings, Inc			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)			
PARTY (Check One Box Only)  Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin Creditor Other Trustee  CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE FRAUD	☐ Debtor☐ Creditor☐ Trustee			
NATURE OF SUIT  (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property  11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property  12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference  13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer  14-Recovery of money/property - other  FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien  21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property  FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property  31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)  FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge  41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(c)  FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation  51-Revocation of confirmation  FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability  66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims  62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud  67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column)	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)    61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support   68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury   63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan   64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation   (other than domestic support)   65-Dischargeability - other  FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief   71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay   72-Injunctive relief – other  FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest   81-Subordination of claim or interest   81-Subordination of claim or interest   91-Declaratory judgment   91-Declaratory judgment   01-Determination of Removed Action   01-Determination of removed claim or cause  Other   SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq.   02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)			
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law		if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23 \$20,000,000.		
✓ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint     Other Relief Sought DECLARATORY	Demand	ψ20,000,000.		

08-13555-mg Doc 37633 Filed 05/23/13 Entered 05/29/13 14:40:35 Main Document Pg 2 of 21

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES				
NAME OF DEBTOR Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 08-13555		
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING SOUTHERN		DIVISION OFFICE NY	NAME OF JUDGE PECK	
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)				
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.	
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)				
DATE 4/10/13		PRINT NAME OF ATTORN	EY (OR PLAINTIFF)	

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

**Signature.** This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

	08-13555-mg Doc 37633 Filed 05/23/13 Pg 3	Entered 05/29/13 14:40:35 Main Document of 21				
1						
2						
3						
4	El Veasta Lampley 8042 Sunse	f Cir.				
5	Huntington Beach, Ca 92648					
6	714 472-5139 brnsugs@yahoo.com					
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK					
8	Lampley, El Veasta,	LEAD CASE ; 08-13555				
9	Plaintiff,	ADVERSARY COMPLAINT				
10	vs.	COMPLAINT FOR:				
11	Lehman Brothers Holdings, LLC	1.CONSPIRACY TO COMITT FRAUD				
12		2.FRAUD AND INTENTIONAL TORT				
13	Defendants )	3.AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD,				
14		4 NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION				
15 16		5.Violation of Homeowners Bill of Rights				
17		6. Cal Civil Code Section 2934				
18		7. 12 U.S.C. 2605				
19		8. "Robo Signing and Fabrication of Documents				
20		DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL				
21		DEMAND FOR A CONT TRIBE				
22						
23						
24						
· 25 26						
27						
28						

PLAINTIFF DOES NOT CONSENT TO THE ENTRY OF FINAL ORDERS OR JUDGMENT BY THIS

COURT IF IT IS DETERMINED THAT THE COURT, ABSENT CONSENT OF THE PARTIES,

CANNOT ENTER FINAL ORDERS OR JUDGMENT CONSISTENT WITH ARTICLE III OF THE

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. IF MATTERS ARE CONSIDERED BY THIS COURT TO BE

CORE MATTERS, PLAINTIFF DOES GIVE CONSENT TO FINAL ORDERS OR JUDGMENT OF THIS

COURT. PLAINTIFF REQUEST PROCEEDING TO BE TRANSFERRED TO DISTRICT COURT

IMMEDIATELY

INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiff El Veasta Lampley, brings this action and hereby alleges: conspiracy to comitt fraud, fraud and intentional tort, aiding and abetting fraud, negligation misrepresentation, violation of California commercial Section 3301, Violation of Cal civil code section 2804-violation of 12 U.S.C. 2605, "Robo signing" and Fabrication of documents
- The defendants, Lehman Brothers Holdings, LLc, and at all times herein mentioned was, a resident of California, Orange County California.
- The plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all times herein mentioned, Wells Fargo Bank (WFB), U.S.Bank (USB), New Century Mortgage Corporation (NCM) and First American Trustee solutions (FATS) agent and employee of defendant, Lehman Brothers (LBH), and in doing the things herein alleged was acting within the course and scope of such agency and herein alleged was acting within the course and scope agency and employment and with the permission and consent of his/her codefendant.

#### Jurisdiction

- 2. This proceeding is a non-core proceeding over which the Court has no jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157 (b) (C) (1) (H) (I) (K) in that these proceedings are related to the above captioned proceeding. As a result, this Court has no authority to hear and determine the dispute at issue and grant the relief requested, and Plaintiff does not consents to the entry of a judgment.
- 3. This matter involves El Veasta Lampley ("plaintiff") pro se, effort to invalidate a January 21, 2006 mortgage and set-aside foreclosure of my home at 20051 Big Bend Lane, Huntington Beach, CA on January 27, 2012.
  - 4. Plaintiff, El Veasta Lampley sought protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy code on January 26, 2012.
  - 5. Plaintiff, El Veasta Lampley, brings this enforcement action pursuant to Unfair or Deceptive Acts or Practices in Violation of:
    - A. Conspiracy to commit Fraud, Fraud and Deceit Cal Civil Code \$1709, \$1710(4)

§§5-1:00, 2:00

- B. Actual Fraud Cal Civil Code § 1572, 5-1:00
- C. Negligent misrepresentation (5-2:00)
- D. Violations of Cal. Com. Code Section 3301.F A C

  146 not persons entitled to enforce the security interest.
- E. Violations of Cal. Civil Code Section 2934-the process of recording assignments with backdated

effective dates may be improper, and thereby taint the notice of default.

F. Violation of 12 U.S.C. 2605

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

G. "Robo signing and Fabrication of Documents

and require Lehman Brothers Holdings

to pay civil penalties, restitution, compensatory damages,

punitive damages, and other damages arising from their unfair,

deceptive, and discriminatory origination and servicing of my

mortgage loan, and to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and

profits from such conduct.

- amount of damages the in plaintiff seeks The \$20,000,000 in damages, avoidance of a lien and determination of compensatory damages and punitive damages caused by defendants, following Plaintiff seeks the Brothers Holdings. Lehman compensatory damages of \$20,000,000-One damages: (1)willfully deceives another with intent to induce him or her to alter his or her position to his or her injury or risk, is liable for any damages that the injured party thereby suffers [Civ. Code § 1709].
- (2) Punitive damages an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to the defendant with the intention on the part of the defendant to thereby deprive a person of legal rights or property, or to otherwise cause injury.
- 7. For the purpose of this brief, the above named companies will be referenced as: Lehman Brothers-LBH, New Century Mortgage-NCMC, U.S.Bank National-USBN, Wells Fargo Bank-

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

WFB, First American Trustee Solutions-FATS and Severson and Werson-SW.

### III Parties

- El Veasta Lampley, plaintiff was the trustor of the 8. mortgage and note on the property at 20051 Big Bend Lane, Huntington Beach, California, dated January 21, 2006.
- Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (LBH) was a national 9. Mortgage lender. Lehman is currently in chapter 11 bankruptcy number 458 B.R. 134(2011) No. 08-01420 and 08-13555 (JMP)(SIPA). Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (LBH) is the seller of the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust Mortgage Pass-Trough Certificates, Series 2006-4 whom alleges the note is attached with to the above property at 20051 Big Bend Lane, Huntington Beach, CA.
- New Century Mortgage Corporation (NCMC) was a national mortgage lender. NCMC is currently in chapter 11 bankruptcy number 07-10416 (KJC).NCMC was the originating "lender" on the Note.
- 11. U.S.Bank National Association (USBN) is a national bank. U.S.Bank is involved in 3500 active lawsuits. Defendants are seeking eviction of the plaintiff of the property at 20051 Big Bend Lane, Huntington Beach, Ca 92646.
  - USBN claims to be the holder-in-due-course of the Α. note.
  - USBN has failed to prove that it is the holder of В. the note.
- Wells Fargo Bank (WFB) DBA American Servicing is a national bank.

- A. WFB agreed to pay **5.3** *Billion*. on 2/9/12 to 49 states and several federal agencies regarding mortgage servicing, foreclosure and origination issues. WFB is a nationwide, diversified, community-based financial services company with \$1.3trillion in assets.
- B. During the period of October 1, 2008 through
  September 30, 2010, WFB submitted 14,442 claims
  on foreclosed loans to the FHA for payment in the
  23 judicial States and jurisdictions totaling
  about 1.7 billion.
- C. The request for payment sparked an investigation by the Office of the Inspector General.
- D. The memorandum was released on March 12, 2012 finding WFB guilty of fraud in servicing, foreclosure procedures and origination.
- E. As stated above, Defendant LBH, through its agent Defendant WFB, instituted a non-judicial foreclosure proceeding and foreclosed on the property which mortgage was originally issued in the name of NCMC, a Delaware corporation organized under the laws of an un-known state. Defendant LBH has taken the unverified position, through its counsel, that Defendant NCMC previously assigned the mortgage issued by NCMC as to the property to Defendants LBH.
- F. LBH has failed and refused to prove to plaintiffs that it is the holder of all rights under the Note, which is an instrument of indebtedness

- G. Defendants LBH has refused and failed to demonstrate that it, and not the certificate-holders have acceded or legally assigned their rights to and under the subject Mortgage to Defendants WFB, specifically the right to foreclose.
- H. LBH has not demonstrated that it has suffered an actual or threatened injury as a consequence of any default, which distinct and palpable injury is legally required under applicable law in order for Defendants LBH to satisfy the legal standing to foreclose on the property.
- I. Further, there is a cloud on the title to the property which defendant LBH failed to extinguish or disclose as it choose to perform a nonjudicial foreclosure on 01/27/12.
- J. The cloud arises out of the filing of an action styled, "Unlimited Civil Lawsuit case number 30-2011 00529194 on December 12, 2011 and a bankruptcy filed by plaintiff, case number 8:12 bk 11026 TA filed on January 26, 2012.
- 13. First American Trustee Solutions, LLC (FATS) is a national limited liability company designed to act as custodian and enforce foreclosure sales on the behalf of various banks.
- 15. This action is brought against Lehman Brothers

in order to effectuate the relief which El Veasta Lampley, plaintiff seeks for estate in this action, damages of \$20,000,000., setting aside of foreclosure on property 01/27/2012 and those damages determined to be necessary by this court final order in this proceeding by the Judge.

## IV Statement of Facts

- 16. May 18, 2004 Lehman Brothers Holdings signed a Flow Mortgage Loan purchase and Warranties Agreement by and between the bank and NC Capital Corporation DBA NCMC. On May 18, 2004 Defendant LBH conspired with Defendants NCH and WFB to commit fraud against Plaintiff El Veasta Lampley. Defendants USB aided and abetted the fraud.
- 17. On or about October 01, 2005, plaintiff attended a seminar hosted by NCH sales executive, Dale Koscielski.
  - A. Dale Koscielski approached plaintiff after the seminar informing plaintiff about excellent new programs for teachers that offer fixed rate mortgages for 30 years.
  - B. On October 10, 2005 Plaintiff applied for the loan to purchase the property at 20051 Big Bend Lane, Huntington Beach, CA. see exhibit 4.
  - C. Plaintiff was approved for a fixed rate loan and shortly thereafter located the said property. See exhibit #5.
  - D. Plaintiff put ten thousand dollars down on the property, deposited an additional \$88,374. in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- E. Upon closing on or about January 20, 2006 plaintiff was given a loan docs to sign and a copy of the loan application signed on October 17, 2005.
- F. Plaintiff noticed that the income had been stated to be \$16,388 per month on the new application based upon 6 months bank statements.
- G. The loan was not fixed for 30 years but in fact fixed for only two years with a pre-payment penalty and a balloon payment.
- H. Plaintiff called Dale on or about January 20, 2006 around 10:30 am from Irvine, CA at the escrow company and informed him that the documents did not offer the fixed loan that had been promised and that the documents had over stated plaintiff's income.
- I. Plaintiffs' income at the time was approximate \$3200. per month as a school teacher.
- J. On or about January 20, 2006 in Irvine California via cell phone, at approximate 10:30 am, Dale stated that he would refinance the loan prior to the two year period.
- K. That was a fraudulent act committed by Dale Koscielski, account executive for New Century Mortgage Company.
- L. In addition, Dale stated that the income was based upon bank statements provided by plaintiff and calculated by the underwriter. .

- M. With the possibility of loosing the total of \$98,374. deposited into escrow, I signed the loan documents under duress.
- N. On or about January 20, 2006 at approximate 10:30 AM Dale committed fraud by inducing plaintiff to sign the loan documents for a high-cost predatory loan that was bound for foreclosure.
- O. The Deed was granted to Plaintiff on 1/13/06.
- P. The Deed of Trust was signed on January 21, 2006 along with the Note fixed for two years.
- Q. On February 14, 2006 a Purchase Price and Terms Letter by and among Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB, NC Capital Corporation, and New Century Mortgage Corporation and it was later revised on March 17, 2006.
- R. On March 28, 2006 the NC Capital Corporation Settlement Notification and Funding Memo, was signed.

  NCH alleges selling the said loan to LBH on March 28, 2006.
- 18. On June 1, 2006 assignment agreement was signed for the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust Mortgage Pass Though Certificates, Series 2006-4. See exhibit 3.
- 19. On September 6, 2006 the Assignment of Deed of Trust was alleged effective.
  - A. The agreement was not signed until July 7, 2007 and recorded on 8/10/07. On 9/7/06 one day after the assignment, plaintiff's home went into default.
  - B. A Notice of Default was recorded on 9/08/06.

26

- C. Wells Fargo claimed to lack authority to contact Plaintiff, Plaintiff faxed the authorization to Wells on 11/1/06.
- D. On 11/22/06 Wells Fargo denied a work out plan to plaintiff.
- E. On 11/29/06 Plaintiff faxed over another request for a work out plan.
- F. Wells Fargo sends Plaintiff a new Notice of Trustee Sale and Declaration dated 12/09/06
- 20. On April 4, 2007 NCMC filed bankruptcy four months after plaintiffs' home went into default.
- 21. On 5/17/07 WFB contacted plaintiff after receiving documents from Plaintiff.
  - B. Financials were sent to Wells Fargo Bank.
- C. On July 30, 2007 New Century signed the Assignment of Deed of Trust assigning U.S.Bank as Trustee.
- D. Call log to ASC dba Wells Fargo dating from 8/7/07-12/17/07.
- $_{
  m F.}$  2/25/08 letter from ASC dba WFB denying a loan modification.
  - G. Order granting stay for sixty days.
  - H. Call log from 8/20/08-10/07/08.
  - I. Fax sent to ASC advising Defendants of stay.
  - J. Notice of Sale and Declaration on 3/3/10.
  - K. Notification of violation by Plaintiff.
- L. Notice of Sale on 11/12/11 and Declaration signed on 8/8/11, recorded on 9/19/11.
- M. Fax acknowledgement of sent request for information to ASC dba WFB 10/3/11.

- O. On 1/26/12 plaintiff filed Bankruptcy and the home was sold on 1/27/12.
- P. Defendants served a notice to quit on plaintiff on January 28, 2012 and secured a judgment for procession against Plaintiff on August 31, 2012.

### V Standards/Rules

- 34. CONSPIRACY TO COMITT FRAUD, FRAUD AND INTENTIONAL TORT, AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, "Robo signing", Fabrication of documents and Homeowners Bill of Rights.
  - A. Fraud is any misrepresentation, either by misstatement or omission of a material fact, knowingly made with the intention of misrepresentation to another and on which a reason able person would and does rely to his or her detriment.
  - B. Misrepresentation-involves the use of fraud and deceit for personal gain. It involves several elements:
    - Misrepresentation of facts or conditions with knowledge that they are false or with reckless disregard for the truth.

[Summary of pleading] - 12

- 2. Intent to induce another to rely on the misrepresentation.
- 3. Justifiable reliance by the deceived party.
- 4. Damages suffered as a result of reliance.
- Caused connection between the misrepresentation and the injury suffered.
- C. Negligence-elements

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. a legal duty to use care
- breach of such duty
- 3. and the breach as the proximate or legal cause of the resulting injury.
- 35. Violation of Holder-in-due-cause-C.C.C. 2924-Lack of standing ,violation of Cal Civil code section 2934, Violation of Calif. Commercial Code Section 3301.
- 36. Violation of 12 U.S.C. 2605(a)(1), 2605 (b), 2605(e)(1)(a) and 2605(e)(2)-requires written acknowledgement of written request from borrower.

#### VI Analysis

1. Plaintiff incorporates here each and every . allegation set forth above. Please see attached.

#### VII CONCLUSION

such injunctive relief as may Plaintiff seeks 37. determined to be appropriate and equitable in order to remedy, address, and prevent additional harm arising National U.S.Bank of unlawful conduct the from First American Fargo Bank and Wells Association,

Trustee Servicing LLC , Lehman Brothers Holdings and New Century Mortgage.

- 38. The allegations contained in all the paragraphs in the Complaint are realigned and incorporated herein by reference.
- 39. This is an action for relief which is brought pursuant to applicable law that Defendant LBH has no legal or equitable rights in the Note or Mortgage for purposes of foreclosure and that said Defendant had and never had legal standing to institute, maintain or foreclose on the said property at 20051 Big Bend Lane, Huntington Beach, CA 92646.
- 40. As set forth above, Defendant LBH has provided no evidence that it has full legal interest in and title to the Mortgage, and has provided no evidence that it has any interest in the Note.
- 41. As set forth above, Defendant LBH does not possess the requisite legal rights to foreclose on the property.
- 42. As set forth above, Defendant LBH, as the alleged foreclosing party, was not a party to the original mortgage contract documents; was not named as a payee in the Note; and has failed to demonstrate any valid, verified, authenticated assignment of the Note or the Mortgage, and is thus legally was precluded from instituting, maintaining or causing the foreclosure

- 43. As set forth above, Defendants WFB DBA American Servicing Corporation is only the servicer of the Note, and as such cannot institute or maintain a foreclosure preceding either directly or indirectly as agent of Defendant WFB.
- 44. Defendants American Trustee Solutions LLc, as such cannot institute or maintain a foreclosure preceding either directly or indirectly as agent of Defendants WFB.
- 45. Defendants U.S.Bank is not a holder in due cause based on a void assignment of deed of trust bearing a back date of ten months.
- 46. Wherefore, Plaintiffs demand that the court adjudge:
  - A. That Defendants LBH has/had no legal standing or the proper legal or equitable interest in either the Note or Mortgage to institute, maintain or cause a foreclosure; and that the action by Defendants WFB and USBN conducting a sale of the property if legally defective precluded from enforcement and that the plaintiff recover their costs as provided by law.
- 47. EQITABLE RELIEF

48. Plaintiff, El Veasta Lampley repeats and incorporates by reference all allegations contained in this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- A. Plaintiffs' loan that were unlawfully originated or serviced, and that were acquired by LBH were acquired subject to all of the plaintiffs claims set forth herein.
- As U.S.Bank National Bank Association presently В. services all or nearly all of the subprime NCMC equitable mortgage loans originated by order against U.S.Bank National Association, as Trustee for the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust, 2006-04 is appropriate to achieve the relief due, the relief necessary to remedy the harm suffered by the plaintiff as a result of the unfair or deceptive acts or practices of LBH, and to ensure that LBH, US.Bank National Association, as Trustee for the Structured Asset Investment Loan Trust, 2006-04is not permitted to service or foreclose upon the plaintiff's loans without due consideration of LBH unlawful origination conduct alleged in this Complaint.

# 49. Prayer for RELIEF

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff requests that this court grant the following relief:

A. Issue a short order of notice requiring the defendants to show cause why an order to set aside the foreclosure on January 27, 2012 should not issue against them and, after hearing, issue a preliminary injunction, enjoying all

defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, mortgage brokers, attorneys, employees, successors and assigns, and all other persons and individually in whether acting entities, with them, concert active participation or directly or indirectly, through any corporation, trust or other device who receive actual notice of the order, from:

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

selling, transferring or (a) further the subject the loan assigning on property

12 13

14

15

16

17

judgment After trial on the merits; enter В. favor of the Plaintiff including restitution to defendants; unfair by injured plaintiff deceptive acts or practices, civil penalties, punitive damages and compensatory damages in the amount of \$20,000,000. and other remedial relief, and reconveyance of property to plaintiff.

18 19

20

21

Plaintiff further requests that the court issue C. requiring order emergency confirmation with a signed affidavit of facts

22

relied upon in this foreclosure proceedings, sign 23 an order for a Special Master examination of 24

25

Lehman Brothers Holdings current processes retrospective measures with an investigation and

attorney

27

26

potential sanctions for past and present conduct contemplated in the Order.

28

[Summary of pleading] - 17

	08-13555-mg Doc 37633 Filed 05/23/13 Entered 05/29/13 14:40:35 Main Document Pg 20 of 21
1	D. A Special Master is needed because this court and
2	plaintiff are faced with past and prospective
3	failure and refusal to perform by LBH.
4	A declaration of El Veasta Lampley, is filed herewith
5	in support hereof.
6	PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY OF ANY ISSUE TRIABLE
7	OF RIGHT BY JURY
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of State
9	of California that the information above is true and
10	correct to the best of my knowledge, signed in Huntington
11	Beach, California, on April 10, 2013 by El Veasta Lampley.
12	Date: Plaintiff:
13	
14	April 10, 2013
15	Respectfully Submitted,
16	El Veasta Lampley
17	Ellant 2p
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	CASES
23	·
24	Bardasia v.Santa Cla a Mortgage
25	Lona v.Citibank, N.A.
26	McCarley v. KPMG
27	US Bank v. Ibanez
28	Indy Mac v. Yano-Horoski

```
|ρ8-13555-mg Doc 37633 Filed 05/23/13 Entered 05/29/13 14:40:35 Main Docume ht
                                   Pg 21 of 21
   Veal v. American Home Mortgage
1
2
3
4
5
    Statue
   Homeowners Bill of Rights
6
   California Commercial Code Section 3301
7
   12 U.S.C. 2605
8
   Cal Civil Code section 2923.5
9
   C.C.P. 1709-1710
   C.C.P. 1572 5-1:00
11
   FRAUD, AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD
12
   NEGLIGENCE AND MISPRESENTATION
13
   REPA
14
   Libel and Defamation of character
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```